Millersville University Faculty Senate Meeting Minutes 10/04/2022

The meeting was called to order 4:05pm. All departments were in attendances cept for Athletics (ATHL) and Interdisciplinary Studie (IDST.)

Also in attendanceDr. GailGasparich (ProvostDr. James Delle (Assoc. ProvptDt. Rachel FinleyBowman(Assoc. ProvostDenEd Task ForbetAlison Hutchnson (Registrar), Dr. Robyn Davis (APSCUD)r. Nivedita Bagch(GenEd Task Force), Dr. Stevennedy(GenEd Task Force), Dr. Abdulsalami Ibrahin(GenEd Task Force), Dr. Lynn Marquez (GenEd Task Force)

- I. Welcomeand Introductions
- II. Minutes of the Faculty Senate
 - a. Minutes from the 0920/2022meetingwere approved via Saunder/Grarruth
 - 1. HIST048-The Art & Cra
 - 2. HIST049 HistoricalInve
 - ii. Undergraduate Course Ohges
 - 1. HIST047-Senior Semir

2.

- 1. None
- iv. Undergraduate Program Changes
 - 1. None

v2.1/TT3 1 Tf 0 Tc 0 Tw 0.761 0 Td ()Tj /TT1 1 Tf -0.004 TNone

viii. Graduate Program Changes

- 1. SOWK082 MSW
- 2. ENGL195 MED English
- 3. ENGL196 MA English
- V. Report of the Faculty Senate Chairpersoderi Robinson
 - a. Announcements
 - i. Faculty Senate Meeting Dates F20122 (1st and 3rd Tuesda 4:05pm)
 - 1. October 4

th and 18h

- 2. November1th and 15th
- VI. APSCUF Updates
 - a. None

- VII. Report of the Student Government Association
 - a. None
- VIII. Report of the Graduate Student Association
 - a. None
- IX. Report of the Administrative Officers
 - a. None
- X. Announcement of new courses, programs or changes to existing rses/program a. None
- XI. Reports of the Faculty Senate Standing Committee
 - a. GERODr. Jeff Wimer, Chair
 - i. GenEd Voting Policyiscussion
 - 1. After last senate discussion, feedback from departments, and a regular GERC meeting the committee proposed the following voting procedure for deciding on a new potential general education model.
 - a. GERG Voting Proposal Outline
 - i. Eligible voters
 - 1. Tenure and tenure track faculty
 - ii. Electronic format
 - 1. 5 business days
 - iii. 50%+ 1 of faculty constitutes a quorum
 - iv. Single anked choice ballowith the three options.
 - 1. Round 1: 50% 1 of voting faculty required to accept model.
 - 2. If no choice receives 50%+1, then the lowest ranked choice otes are reallocated and then must meet 60%+1 threshold for approval in this second round
 - Discussioncentered around improving understanding of the ranked choice model and reallocation process. Concern was expressed over complexity and need to communicate nuances of the processior to vote. Differences between ranked choice methods in elections and referendum voting models was explain diditional voting options were suggested.
 - ii. A Blazer/Saundermotion to adopt a general education form runoff voting model with following parameters was made Vote to approve voting procedure vill occurat next senate meeting.
 - 1. Eligible voters
 - a. Tenure and tenure track faculty
 - 2. Quorum
 - a. None
 - 3. Format
 - a. Electronic/Online Vote
 - b. Structure
 - i. Round 1: Vote for all three options. 50% voting faculty + 1 is the threshold equired for model adoption.
 - ii. If a choice does not receive threquired threshold, then the two highest options move to Round 2.

- x SOWK082MSW
- x ENGL195 MED English x ENGL196 MA English